Pennsylvania Association of County Affiliated Homes (PACAH)

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review December 2014



Carbis Walker LLP

Certified Public Accountants & Consultants

Members: Carbis Walker Group
McGladrey Alliance
AICPA Alliance for CPA Firms

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1 - 6
Introduction	1 - 2
Outline of Recent County Home Sales	3
Summary of Procedures Performed	4
Detailed Outline of Rate Setting Process	5 6
Financial Impact Summary	6
INDIVIDUAL COUNTY HOMES	7 - 98
Armstrong County Health Center	7 - 10
Berks Heim Nursing and Rehabilitation	11 - 14
Bradford County Manor	15 - 18
Cedarbrook Nursing Homes	19 - 22
Claremont NRC at Cumberland County	23 - 26
Communities at Indian Haven	27 - 30
Crawford County Care Center	31 - 34
Fair Acres Geriatric Center	35 - 38
Gracedale – Northampton County	39 - 42
John J. Kane – Glen Hazel	43 - 46
John J. Kane – McKeesport	47 - 50
John J. Kane – Ross Township	51 - 54
John J. Kane – Scott Township	55 - 58
Neshaminy Manor Home	59 - 62
Philadelphia Nursing Home	63 - 66
Pleasant Acres Nursing & Rehabilitation Center	67 - 70
Pleasant Ridge Manor	71 - 74
Pleasant Valley Manor	75 - 78
Pocopson Home	79 - 82
Rouse Warren County Home	83 - 86
Susque View Home	87 - 90
Washington County Health Center	91 - 94
Westmoreland Manor	95 - 98
DISCLAIMER	99

Executive Summary Introduction

This is an analysis of the financial impact to the State of Pennsylvania (PA) when county owned skilled nursing facilities (County Homes) are sold. This analysis finds that based upon rate methodologies explained below, and if each home's Medicaid (MA) CMI was to jump to the state average non-public MA CMI, the total financial impact to PA if all 23 County Homes were to sell would be a loss to the state of approximately \$29.5 million. This is for the first year alone, compared to the amount PA is currently paying the County Homes.

The financial impact to PA has been demonstrated through five recent County Home sales. As witnessed in these five recent County Home sales, the MA rate paid by the state to the home tends to increase after the home is sold (page 3). This increase in MA rates is caused by a corresponding increase in the MA Case Mix Index (CMI) which is the primary driver of the MA rate in a non-County Home. In these five recent County Home sales alone, the average increase in MA rates was more than \$17 per day after the sale, which will cost PA approximately \$7 million on an annualized basis.

These recent County Home sales show a trend of the MA CMIs increasing and gravitating to the state average once the home is sold. If these trends were to continue, and the average non-public MA CMI of 1.04 is applied to the non-public home rate methodology, the financial impact is almost a \$29.5 million loss to PA in one fiscal year. It is clear from this study that the MA CMI is the driving factor and has the largest impact on the MA rate setting process and reimbursement. Although peer group prices and the capital component calculation play a role in the rate setting process, the MA CMI is the key component in driving the rate. This is shown in the drastic difference when using actual County Home MA CMIs and the average nonpublic MA CMI. The current County Home MA CMIs range from .83 to 1.06, with the majority of the County Home MA CMIs falling in the .88 to .94 range. Please note the average nonpublic MA CMI represents the average from the four quarters relative to the fiscal year July 1, 2013, to June 30, 2014.

Nonpublic nursing homes are currently reimbursed based on their allowable costs, related to nursing care, filed each year with PA. PA takes the three most audited years of allowable costs and puts them into the MA rate calculation. This MA rate calculation is complex and involves peer group prices, total facility CMIs, MA CMIs, financial yield rates, and other steps to calculate the MA rate. The process of taking the three most audited cost reports and performing this complex calculation is called "rebasing." Rebasing occurs every July 1, and every subsequent quarter (Oct.1, Jan. 1, Apr. 1) the MA CMI, as outlined above, drives the MA rate up or down.

Please note that as future MA cost reports are audited and new allowable costs are subsequently entered into the rate setting process, those updated allowable costs could have an impact on the totals reported above, either positively or negatively, depending on the allowable costs reported on the cost report. Future rebasing will play a role in the MA rates of County Homes that are sold. In any event, the MA CMI will continue to be the driving factor in the reimbursement process.

As each County Home converts to the nonpublic rate setting methodology, they may not immediately have a MA CMI increase to 1.04 for a full four quarters, which the example outlined above assumes. Although it could be an immediate increase, it could also be gradual, or some County Homes may never reach the nonpublic average. Given the increased scrutiny the MA CMI would receive due to the nonpublic rate setting methodology, recent trends confirm that a MA CMI increase could occur, and possibly revert to the State average. This increase in the MA CMI would highly depend on the County Home's acuity of its MA resident population, and management of the CMI. If the remaining County Homes were sold and a corresponding increase in the MA CMI did not result, the sale of County Homes would result in a gain to PA. As described above, this has not been the trend as outlined in the recent County Home sales.

Please note that Westmoreland Manor and Neshaminy Manor Home have an average County Home MA CMI for the fiscal year 2013-2014, that is greater than the average nonpublic MA CMI of 1.04. This causes a divergence from the other County Homes because the estimated nonpublic MA rate using the average nonpublic MA CMI is lower than the MA rate using County CMIs. This is not the norm throughout the report. It should also be noted that these two County Homes are managed by a for-profit entity. As witnessed by the five recent sales, their MA rates have increased as a result of being sold. Even though these two County Homes' MA CMIs are currently above the state average, it is possible that their MA CMI could increase further.

As stated above, the overall goal of this engagement is to compare each County Home's current MA rate to an estimated MA rate assuming the County Home was sold and converted to a nonpublic nursing facility provider. The results of the engagement will then be utilized by PACAH's executive committee to determine appropriate action to benefit its members. The engagement's focus is on the MA rate and not on other County Home MA third-party reimbursement, such as MA Day One Incentives, Pay for Performance, Nursing Facility Assessment Tax, and Certified Public Expenditures.

As previously stated, Carbis Walker LLP did not analyze the impact to PA relative to Certified Public Expenditures if County Homes are sold. PA separated County Homes from nonpublic nursing homes in 2008, by reimbursing County Homes under the PA State Regulations 1189, as opposed to leaving County Homes in the 1187 Regulations. Due to this switch from 1187 to 1189 Regulations, PA can now use County Home allowable nursing home costs in the Certified Public Expenditure calculation, which allows PA to obtain additional federal matching funds. As a result of County Homes being sold, PA would lose the additional dollars that are being obtained via federal matching funds due to the change from 1189 to 1187 Regulation.

PACAH's mission for over 60 years has been to participate in activities to promote the general welfare of nursing home residents in PA. Because of its mission, PACAH is representing 23 County Homes and is working directly with Carbis Walker LLP to provide support for the engagement. In addition, PACAH strives to support and educate its members to facilitate quality care and quality life settings for its residents.

Executive Summary

Outline of Recent County Home Sales

	MA CMI Prior to Being Sold	Most recent MA CMI May 1, 2014	Difference	MA Rate fior to Being Sold	/IA Rate n 10/1/14	Diff	erence	Actual MA Days from 12/31/13 MA Cost Report	C	Additional Cost to the State of PA
Friendship Ridge	0.89	1.04	0.15	\$ 229.34	\$ 248.77	\$	19.43	163,894	\$	3,184,460
Sunnyview Nursing Center	0.94	1.02	0.08	197.99	207.60		9.61	60,332		579,791
Falling Springs Nursing Center	0.95	1.25	0.30	190.96	210.25		19.29	40,881		788,594
Centre Crest	0.93	0.97	0.04	163.95	185.40		21.45	47,086		1,009,995
Parkhouse Nursing Center	0.86	1.03	0.17	231.30	247.12		15.82	132,861		2,101,861
-									\$	7,664,701

* Please note that the additional cost to the State of Pennsylvania is calculated by taking the difference in the current MA rate on 10/1/14, compared to the MA rate prior to being sold, and multiplying that difference by the actual MA days filed on the most recent MA cost report. This allows for an annualized estimated financial impact to be calculated for a 12-month period.

As outlined in the Executive Summary - Introduction, five recent County Home sales were analyzed for the estimated financial impact the sales have had on PA on an annualized basis. The above chart outlines that calculation. The first three columns outline the increase in the MA CMI since the County Homes were sold. As stated above, the MA CMI has shown a substantial increase in all five nursing homes. Columns 4, 5 and 6 show the increase in the MA rate since the former County Homes were sold. The MA rates have increased by an average of \$17 per MA day since the former County Homes were sold. This increase in the MA CMI and MA rate will cost PA an additional \$7.6 million on an annualized basis, as it relates specifically to the MA rate.

Please note that all of the former County Homes outlined above were purchased by for-profit entities, with the exception of Centre Crest, which was sold and converted to a non-profit entity. This trend shows that as the County Homes are sold, for-profit entities will manage the MA CMI efficiently, which will have a direct effect on the MA rate.

Below is a summary of the procedures we performed in relation to the engagement:

- Through discussions with PACAH, we obtained an understanding of the desired objectives for the engagement and documented them into an engagement agreement between CW and PACAH.
- Based upon the above understanding, we prepared an initial list of information required from PACAH and its County Home members and forwarded this list to PACAH to relay to its County Home members, to allow them to compile the information. The information requested included, but was not limited to, Medicaid days from July 1, 2013, to June 30, 2014, and MA CMI scores as of specific picture dates.
- CW studied the reimbursement methodology outlined by the Department of Public Welfare (DPW) proposed rulemaking from Saturday, October 5, 2013, labeled "Rate Setting for county nursing facilities that change ownership" (43 Pa.B. 5822). Please note that this rulemaking was finalized on July 19, 2014 (44 Pa.B. 4498).
- CW also discussed the methodology relative to rate setting for county nursing facilities that change ownership with the DPW to confirm our understanding of the process.
- In conjunction with the information gathered from PACAH membership, and the DPW website, along with CW internal data, CW was able to input data into the applicable database to quantify outcomes.
- Quantified outcomes were based off the assumption that the County Homes were converted on July 1, 2013. As of the report date July 1, 2014, peer groups were not available. Also, using a conversion date of July 1, 2013, allowed the usage of actual Medicaid days for the period July 1, 2013, to June 30, 2014. This, in turn, helped produce more accurate projections for each County Home.
- The County Home MA CMI Picture dates used for the engagement were February 1, 2013, May 1, 2013, August 1, 2013, and November 1, 2013. Please note that County Home MA CMIs were used for recalculation of Medicaid rates along with the average nonpublic MA CMI. The calculation was performed both ways to allow the report to show the impact of the MA CMI on the Medicaid rates, and also to show the possible reimbursement range a County Home could fall into depending on their MA CMI.
- For comparison purposes and quantifying the report outcomes, the County Homes' Medical Assistance rates as reported on DPW 's website for July 1, 2013, was used for the analysis.
- Outlined in detail the Medicaid rate setting process for County Homes that change ownership.
- Provided a detailed calculation for each individual County Home, using the assumptions outlined above. The calculation showed the quarterly change in the MA rate using nonpublic nursing home reimbursement methodology for the first year after a County Home changed ownership. Please see the detailed description of this process outlined in the report (Pg. 4). The engagement takes into consideration the impact in the first year of conversion, and nothing beyond the first year. Beyond the first year of conversion, audited cost reports would become available and would impact the rate. CW could not rely on estimated numbers from future audited cost reports to determine an accurate rate. Also, the budget adjustment factor used throughout the report is as of July 2013. This rate is subject to change based on DPW's and the State of Pennsylvania's discretion given the yearly budget shortfall or supplies.
- For each County Home a total impact was calculated using both the County Home MA CMIs and the average nonpublic MA CMI for the specific picture dates outlined above.
- Once the analysis was complete, prepared a summary of the findings of the engagement using the details derived from each individual County Home.

Executive Summary Detailed Outline of Rate Setting Process

As outlined in the Summary of Procedures Performed under the Executive Summary section on page 2, CW discussed the rate setting methodology for County Homes changing ownership with the Department of Public Welfare. Also CW reviewed the MA Bulletins published by the Department of Public Welfare to gain a detailed understanding of the process. Outlined below are the highlights from MA Bulletin (43. Pa.B 5822) dated Saturday, October 5, 2013.

Purpose of the Proposed Rulemaking

The purpose of the proposed rulemaking is to amend Chapter 1187.97 of the PA Code (rates for new nursing facilities and nursing facilities with a change of ownership), specifically rate setting methodology used when a County Home has a change of ownership. Also, certain terminology used in definitions was updated. This proposed rulemaking is being driven by the fact that County Homes have been phased out of the Chapter 1187 rate-setting process. Per diem rates for former County Homes cannot be determined because audited data under Chapter 1187 is no longer available as of June 30, 2012.

Background

From July 1, 2006, to June 30, 2012, when a County Home changed ownership, the per diem rate was calculated in accordance with Chapter 1187.96. County Homes' cost data is no longer audited under Chapter 1187 regulations, since they have now been fully phased out of the rate setting process relative to nonpublic nursing homes. County Homes are reimbursed via the Chapter 1189 regulations, and their cost data is no longer audited for compliance under Chapter 1187. Also, per diem rates for County Homes do not include net operating or capital rates which would be used to determine a rate for a nursing home that went through a change of ownership. Therefore, the Department of Public Welfare will use peer group prices to determine the net operating portion of the per diem rate until audited cost report data is available for rebasing the MA rate.

Details of Rate Setting

If a County Home changes ownership, the peer group prices from the appropriate peer group for resident care and other resident-related and administrative costs will be used to determine the MA rate. Once a MA cost report is submitted by the new provider and audited, that data will then be used to rebase the MA rate for the new provider. Until then, the peer group prices will determine the starting point for the calculation.

The MA CMI from the most recent quarter prior to the change of ownership will be used to determine the MA CMI that will be used to calculate the resident care rate. For every other quarter after the initial rate setting quarter, the nursing home's actual MA CMI would be used from the applicable picture date that applies to that quarter. The other resident-related rate and the administrative portion of the rate will be determined, as stated above, from the peer group prices.

The capital component of the MA rate would be determined by only the fixed property component of the capital rate. Major movable property costs and real estate taxes would not be part of the initial rate setting of the capital component after a change of ownership.

The fixed property component, if assuming change of ownership on July 1, 2013, would take into account several factors. The fixed bed limitation of \$26,000 per bed would apply. The July 1, 2013, financial yield rate would be used, and also the greater of the actual or available beds at 90% as of December 31, 2012. A hypothetical calculation is illustrated below.

Fixed bed limitation (\$26,000 x 200 beds)	\$5,200,000
Times financial yield rate at 7/1/13	4.76%
Allowable capital costs	<u>\$ 247,520</u>
Divided by the greater of actual or	
available bed days at 90%	65,700
Capital rate	\$ 3.77

Executive Summary

Financial Impact Summary

			**MA		*Average 2013-2014	2013-2014 Estimated		Estimated
	Peer	Bed	Occupancy	2013-2014	Estimated Nonpublic Rate	Nonpublic Rate	2013-2014	Additional State
	Group	Size	Percentage	MA Rate	Using County MA CMIs	Nonpublic MA CMIs	MA Days	Dollars from PA
Armstrong County Health Center	12	115	68.21%	\$ 158.08	\$ 151.21	\$ 172.70	22,466	\$ 328,453
Berks Heim Nursing & Rehab	4	420	78.56%	217.10	216.46	233.91	121,094	2,035,590
Bradford County Manor	11	200	67.80%	171.79	172.23	182.99	40,180	450,016
Cedarbrook Nursing Homes	4	680	86.24%	223.03	209.21	234.03	189,600	2,085,600
Claremont NRC at Cumb. County	4	290	79.71%	210.08	216.32	234.16	75,190	1,810,575
Communitites at Indian Haven	12	108	53.25%	174.13	170.14	172.62	19,241	(29,054)
Crawford County Care Center	11	157	76.17%	176.93	164.26	182.79	42,390	248,405
Fair Acres Geriatric Center	1	908	91.17%	205.46	206.20	244.80	271,563	10,683,288
Gracedale- Northampton County	4	725	76.81%	216.05	210.15	234.20	168,299	3,029,382
John J. Kane - Glen Hazel	2	210	91.28%	236.35	184.69	213.46	55,299	(1,265,794)
John J. Kane - McKeesport	1	360	83.86%	213.08	227.93	245.00	85,824	2,739,502
John J. Kane - Ross Township	2	240	87.80%	230.10	201.00	213.44	64,922	(1,081,601)
John J. Kane - Scott Township	1	314	83.75%	217.12	235.66	245.00	67,195	1,873,397
Neshaminy Manor Home	1	360	72.04%	262.34	245.59	244.78	95,143	(1,670,711)
Philadelphia Nursing Home	1	451	95.17%	229.66	212.48	244.99	133,377	2,044,669
Pleasant Acres Nursing & Rehab	4	375	88.55%	235.55	209.61	234.05	111,982	(167,973)
Pleasant Ridge Manor	4	300	84.19%	222.56	213.26	234.20	103,576	1,205,625
Pleasant Valley Manor	11	174	71.08%	167.28	166.66	182.80	43,543	675,787
Pocopson Home	1	275	88.46%	213.87	215.49	244.75	84,977	2,624,090
Rouse Warren County Home	11	176	66.86%	162.70	167.20	182.75	41,360	829,268
Susque View Home	11	146	77.50%	170.67	167.30	182.84	39,973	486,471
Vashington County Health Center	1	288	76.53%	220.62	222.17	244.93	73,005	1,774,752
Westmoreland Manor	1	408	83.49%	255.29	247.61	244.77	118,359	(1,245,137

* Please see individual entities for quarterly rate changes, the average above relates to the four quarters relative to the 2013-2014 fiscal year.

The average rate shown above is for informational purposes relative to the summary.

** Obtained from 12/31/13 MA-11 Cost Report

Note: The additional cost to PA of \$29,464,600 is calculated by multiplying the MA rate that was estimated using the average nonpublic MA CMI (1.04) by the actual MA days reported on the 2013 Medicaid cost report for each County Home. This total is then compared to the same calculation, using the actual County Home MA rate for the 2013-2014 fiscal year instead.

For Example: Armstrong County Health Center's estimated rate for fiscal year 2013-2014 using nonpublic nursing home reimbursement methodology and a MA CMI of 1.04 is \$172.70. Therefore, \$172.70 x 22,466 (MA days) equals \$3,879,878. Their actual County Home MA rate for 2013-2014 is \$158.08 x 22,466 (MA days) equals \$3,551,425. Therefore, when the difference is calculated between the two totals, the sale of the County Home would require \$328,453 of additional State dollars for this one fiscal year, as it relates specifically to the MA rate. Other State dollars that are exchanged between PA and the nursing home are not taken into account in the above calculation.

Armstrong County Health Center

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Armstrong County Health Center

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculati	on:		October 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Ca	Iculat	ion:
Resident-related peer group price	\$	129.96	Resident-related peer group price	\$	129.96
February 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.83	May 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.88
Rebased resident-related peer group price		107.87	Adjusted resident-related peer group price		114.36
Other resident-related peer group price		44.20	The sum of the remaining peer group price components		68.50
Administrative peer group price		20.54			
Estimated fixed property component		3.76			
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on			October 1, 2013, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on		
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	176.37	July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	182.86
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		149.56	Estimated Oct. 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		155.07
July 1, 2013, County MA rate		158.08	July 1, 2013, County MA rate		158.08
Difference		(8.52)	Difference		(3.01)
MA days Jul. 1, 2013 - Sept. 30, 2013		5,607	MA days Oct. 1, 2013 - Dec. 31, 2013		5,613
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(47,772)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(16,895)

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Armstrong County Health Center

Resident-related peer group price	\$	129.96	Resident-related peer group price	\$	129.96
August 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.82	November 1, 2013, MA CMI	·	0.85
Adjusted resident-related			Adjusted resident-related		
peer group price		106.57	peer group price		110.47
The sum of the remaining peer group			The sum of the remaining peer group		
price components		68.50	price components		68.50
January 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	175.07	April 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	178.97
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	<u> </u>	0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	<u> </u>	0.84801
Estimated Jan. 1, 2014, nonpublic rate		148.46	Estimated April 1, 2014, nonpublic rate		151.76
July 1, 2013, County MA rate		158.08	July 1, 2013, County MA rate		158.08
Difference		(9.62)	Difference		(6.32)
MA days Jan. 1, 2014 - Mar. 31, 2014		5,479	MA days Apr. 1, 2014 - Jun. 30, 2014		5,767
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(52,708)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(36,447)

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Armstrong County Health Center

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculat	ion:		
Resident-related peer group price	\$ 129.96		
Average nonpublic MA CMI	1.04		
Rebased resident-related			
peer group price	135.16	Estimated total financial impact to PA,	
Other resident-related peer group price	44.20	assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using County	
Administrative peer group price	20.54	Home MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	\$ (153,822)
Estimated fixed property component	3.76		
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 203.66	Estimated total financial impact to PA, assuming conversion to a nonpublic	
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	facility on July 1, 2013, using	
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	172.70	nonpublic MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	\$ 328,453
July 1, 2013, proposed County MA rate	158.08		
Difference	14.62		
2013-2014 Medicaid days	22,466		

Estimated total financial impact

328,453 \$

10

Berks Heim Nursing and Rehabilitation

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Berks Heim Nursing and Rehabilitation

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calcula	ition:	October 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calc	ulation:
Resident-related peer group price	\$ 182.95	Resident-related peer group price	\$ 182.95
February 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.93	May 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.94
Rebased resident-related peer group price	170.14	Adjusted resident-related peer group price	171.97
Other resident-related peer group price	59.63	The sum of the remaining peer group price components	85.57
Administrative peer group price	22.52		
Estimated fixed property component	3.42		
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on		October 1, 2013, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on	
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 255.71	July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 257.54
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	216.85	Estimated Oct. 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	218.40
July 1, 2013, County MA rate	217.10	July 1, 2013, County MA rate	217.10
Difference	(0.25)	Difference	1.30
MA days Jul. 1, 2013 - Sept. 30, 2013	29,862	MA days Oct. 1, 2013 - Dec. 31, 2013	29,847
	\$ (7,466)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ 38,801

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Berks Heim Nursing and Rehabilitation

calculation:			ulation:	
\$	182.95	Resident-related peer group price	\$	182.95
	0.91	November 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.93
		Adjusted resident-related		
	166.48	peer group price		170.14
		The sum of the remaining peer group		
	85.57	price components		85.57
		April 1, 2014, adjusted peer group		
	<u> Salculation:</u>	\$ 182.95 0.91 166.48	\$ 182.95 Resident-related peer group price 0.91 November 1, 2013, MA CMI Adjusted resident-related 166.48 peer group price The sum of the remaining peer group 85.57 price components	\$ 182.95 Resident-related peer group price \$ 0.91 November 1, 2013, MA CMI

July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 252.05	July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 255.71
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801
Estimated Jan. 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	213.74	Estimated April 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	216.85
July 1, 2013, County MA rate	217.10	July 1, 2013, County MA rate	217.10
Difference	(3.36)	Difference	(0.25)
MA days Jan. 1, 2014 - Mar. 31, 2014	30,254	MA days Apr. 1, 2014 - Jun. 30, 2014	31,131
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ (101,653)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ (7,783)

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Berks Heim Nursing and Rehabilitation

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Nate Calculati				
Resident-related peer group price	\$	182.95		
Average nonpublic MA CMI		1.04		
Rebased resident-related				
peer group price		190.27	Estimated total financial impact to PA,	
Other resident-related peer group price		59.63	assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using County	
Administrative peer group price		22.52	Home MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	\$ (78,101)
Estimated fixed property component		3.42		
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on				
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	275.84	Estimated total financial impact to PA, assuming conversion to a nonpublic	
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	facility on July 1, 2013, using nonpublic MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	\$ 2,035,590
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		233.91		φ 2,000,000
July 1, 2013, proposed County MA rate		217.10		
Difference		16.81		
2013-2014 Medicaid days		121,094		
Estimated total financial impact	\$ 2	2,035,590		

Bradford County Manor

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Bradford County Manor

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation	on:	October 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calc	ulation:
Resident-related peer group price	\$ 140.97	Resident-related peer group price	\$ 140.97
February 1, 2013, MA CMI	1.01	May 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.94
Rebased resident-related peer group price	142.38	Adjusted resident-related peer group price	132.51
Other resident-related peer group price	44.78	The sum of the remaining peer group price components	69.18
Administrative peer group price	20.64		
Estimated fixed property component	3.76	_	
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on		October 1, 2013, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on	
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 211.56	July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 201.69
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	179.40	Estimated Oct. 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	171.04
July 1, 2013, County MA rate	171.79	July 1, 2013, County MA rate	171.79
Difference	7.61	Difference	(0.75)
MA days Jul. 1, 2013 - Sept. 30, 2013	9,739	MA days Oct. 1, 2013 - Dec. 31, 2013	10,100
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ 74,114	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ (7,575)

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Bradford County Manor

January 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Cal	140.97	April 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calcula	\$	140.97
Resident-related peer group price August 1, 2013, MA CMI	\$ 0.91	Resident-related peer group price November 1, 2013, MA CMI	Φ	0.94
Adjusted resident-related peer group price	 128.28	Adjusted resident-related peer group price		132.51
The sum of the remaining peer group price components	 69.18	The sum of the remaining peer group price components		69.18
January 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 197.46	April 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	201.69
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	 0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801
Estimated Jan. 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	167.45	Estimated April 1, 2014, nonpublic rate		171.04
July 1, 2013, County MA rate	 171.79	July 1, 2013, County MA rate		171.79
Difference	 (4.34)	Difference		(0.75)
MA days Jan. 1, 2014 - Mar. 31, 2014	 9,945	MA days Apr. 1, 2014 - Jun. 30, 2014		10,396
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ (43,161)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(7,797)

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Bradford County Manor

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:

Suly 1, 2013, Nonpublic 1 achity Rate Calculat		4 4 0 0 7			
Resident-related peer group price	\$	140.97			
Average nonpublic MA CMI		1.04			
Rebased resident-related		146 61	Estimated total financial impact to DA		
peer group price		146.61	Estimated total financial impact to PA, assuming conversion to a nonpublic		
Other resident-related peer group price		44.78	facility on July 1, 2013, using County		
Administrative peer group price		20.64	Home MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	\$	15,581
Estimated fixed property component		3.76			
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group					
price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	215.79	Estimated total financial impact to PA,		
	<u> </u>	210.10	assuming conversion to a nonpublic		
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	facility on July 1, 2013, using	¢	450.040
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		182.99	nonpublic MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	<u> </u>	450,016
July 1, 2013, proposed County MA rate		171.79			
Difference		11.20			
2013-2014 Medicaid days		40,180			
Estimated total financial impact	\$	450,016			

Cedarbrook Nursing Homes

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Cedarbrook Nursing Homes

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:			October 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:			
Resident-related peer group price	\$	182.95	Resident-related peer group price	\$	182.95	
February 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.83	May 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.88	
Rebased resident-related peer group price		151.85	Adjusted resident-related peer group price		161.00	
Other resident-related peer group price		59.63	The sum of the remaining peer group price components	85.7		
Administrative peer group price		22.52				
Estimated fixed property component		3.56				
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on			October 1, 2013, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on			
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	237.56	July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	246.71	
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		201.45	Estimated Oct. 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		209.21	
July 1, 2013, County MA rate		223.03	July 1, 2013, County MA rate		223.03	
Difference		(21.58)	Difference		(13.82)	
MA days Jul. 1, 2013 - Sept. 30, 2013		49,410	MA days Oct. 1, 2013 - Dec. 31, 2013		49,494	
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(1,066,268)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(684,007)	

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Cedarbrook Nursing Homes

January 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Cal	culation	:	April 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calcula	tion:	
Resident-related peer group price	\$ 182.95		Resident-related peer group price		182.95
August 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.89	November 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.92
Adjusted resident-related		162.83	Adjusted resident-related		168.31
peer group price		102.05	peer group price		100.51
The sum of the remaining peer group			The sum of the remaining peer group		
price components		85.71	price components		85.71
January 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	248.54	April 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	254.02
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801
Estimated Jan. 1, 2014, nonpublic rate		210.76	Estimated April 1, 2014, nonpublic rate		215.41
July 1, 2013, County MA rate		223.03	July 1, 2013, County MA rate		223.03
Difference		(12.27)	Difference		(7.62)
MA days Jan. 1, 2014 - Mar. 31, 2014		45,588	MA days Apr. 1, 2014 - Jun. 30, 2014		45,108
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(559,365)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(343,723)

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Cedarbrook Nursing Homes

2013-2014 Medicaid days

Estimated total financial impact

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calcula	ation:				
Resident-related peer group price	\$	182.95			
Average nonpublic MA CMI		1.04			
Rebased resident-related					
peer group price		190.27	Estimated total financial impact to PA,		
Other resident-related peer group price		59.63	assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using County		
Administrative peer group price		22.52	Home MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	\$	(2,653,363)
Estimated fixed property component		3.56			
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	275.98	Estimated total financial impact to PA,		
	Ψ	270.00	assuming conversion to a nonpublic		
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	facility on July 1, 2013, using	¢	0.005.000
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		234.03	nonpublic MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	<u> </u>	2,085,600
July 1, 2013, proposed County MA rate		223.03			
Difference		11.00			

189,600

2,085,600

\$

Claremont NRC at Cumberland County

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Claremont NRC at Cumberland County

Resident-related peer group price	\$ 182.95	Resident-related peer group price	\$ 182.95
February 1, 2013, MA CMI	 0.92	May 1, 2013, MA CMI	 0.90
Rebased resident-related		Adjusted resident-related	
peer group price	168.31	peer group price	164.66
Other resident-related peer group price	59.63	The sum of the remaining peer group price components	85.86
Administrative peer group price	22.52		
Estimated fixed property component	 3.71		
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on		October 1, 2013, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on	
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 254.17	July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 250.52
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	 0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	 0.84801
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	215.54	Estimated Oct. 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	212.44
July 1, 2013, County MA rate	 210.08	July 1, 2013, County MA rate	 210.08
Difference	 5.46	Difference	 2.36
MA days Jul. 1, 2013 - Sept. 30, 2013	 18,802	MA days Oct. 1, 2013 - Dec. 31, 2013	 19,600
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ 102,659	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ 46,256

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Claremont NRC at Cumberland County

January 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calo	n:	April 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:			
Resident-related peer group price		182.95	Resident-related peer group price		182.95
August 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.93		November 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.95
Adjusted resident-related		170 14	Adjusted resident-related		470.00
peer group price		170.14	peer group price		173.80
The sum of the remaining peer group			The sum of the remaining peer group		
price components		85.86	price components		85.86
January 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	256.00	April 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	259.66
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801
Estimated Jan. 1, 2014, nonpublic rate		217.09	Estimated April 1, 2014, nonpublic rate		220.20
July 1, 2013, County MA rate		210.08	July 1, 2013, County MA rate		210.08
Difference		7.01	Difference		10.12
MA days Jan. 1, 2014 - Mar. 31, 2014		18,853	MA days Apr. 1, 2014 - Jun. 30, 2014		17,935
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	132,160	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	181,502

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Claremont NRC at Cumberland County

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:

Resident-related peer group price	\$ 182.95	
Average nonpublic MA CMI	 1.04	
Rebased resident-related peer group price	190.27	Estimated tota
Other resident-related peer group price	59.63	assuming co facility on Ju
Administrative peer group price	22.52	Home MA C
Estimated fixed property component	 3.71	
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on		Estimated tota
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 276.13	assuming co
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	 0.84801	facility on Ju nonpublic M
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	234.16	поприбле м
July 1, 2013, proposed County MA rate	 210.08	
Difference	 24.08	
2013-2014 Medicaid days	 75,190	
Estimated total financial impact	\$ 1,810,575	

Estimated total financial impact to PA, assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using County Home MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	\$	462,577
Estimated total financial impact to PA, assuming conversion to a nonpublic		

July 1, 2013, using MA CMIs - (gain) / loss 1,810,575 \$

Communities at Indian Haven

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Communities at Indian Haven

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculati	on:	October 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:			
Resident-related peer group price February 1, 2013, MA CMI	\$ 129.96 1.06	Resident-related peer group price May 1, 2013, MA CMI	\$ 129.96 1.00		
Rebased resident-related peer group price	137.76	Adjusted resident-related peer group price	129.96		
Other resident-related peer group price	44.20	The sum of the remaining peer group price components	68.40		
Administrative peer group price	20.54				
Estimated fixed property component	3.66				
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 206.16	October 1, 2013, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 198.36		
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801		
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	174.82	Estimated Oct. 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	168.21		
July 1, 2013, County MA rate	174.13	July 1, 2013, County MA rate	174.13		
Difference	0.69	Difference	(5.92)		
MA days Jul. 1, 2013 - Sept. 30, 2013	5,117	MA days Oct. 1, 2013 - Dec. 31, 2013	4,841		
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ 3,531	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ (28,659)		

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Communities at Indian Haven

January 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calc	ulation:	April 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:			
Resident-related peer group price	\$ 129.96	Resident-related peer group price	\$ 129.96		
August 1, 2013, MA CMI	1.03	November 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.98		
Adjusted resident-related	133.86	Adjusted resident-related	127.36		
peer group price	133.00	peer group price	127.30		
The sum of the remaining peer group		The sum of the remaining peer group			
price components	68.40	price components	68.40		
January 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 202.26	April 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 195.76		
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801		
Estimated Jan. 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	171.52	Estimated April 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	166.01		
July 1, 2013, County MA rate	174.13	July 1, 2013, County MA rate	174.13		
Difference	(2.61)	Difference	(8.12)		
MA days Jan. 1, 2014 - Mar. 31, 2014	4,481	MA days Apr. 1, 2014 - Jun. 30, 2014	4,802		
Estimated quarterly financial impact	<u>\$ (11,695)</u>	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ (38,992)		

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Communities at Indian Haven

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:

Resident-related peer group price	\$	129.96	
Average nonpublic MA CMI		1.04	
Rebased resident-related			
peer group price		135.16	Estimated total financial impact to PA,
Other resident-related peer group price		44.20	assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using County
Administrative peer group price		20.54	Home MA CMIs - (gain) / loss
Estimated fixed property component		3.66	
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group			
price, assuming conversion on	•		Estimated total financial impact to PA,
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	203.56	assuming conversion to a nonpublic
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	facility on July 1, 2013, using
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		172.62	nonpublic MA CMIs - (gain) / loss
July 1, 2013, proposed County MA rate		174.13	
Difference		(1.51)	
2013-2014 Medicaid days		19,241	
Estimated total financial impact	\$	(29,054)	

(75,815)

(29,054)

\$

\$

Crawford County Care Center

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Crawford County Care Center

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:		October 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:		
Resident-related peer group price	\$ 140.97	Resident-related peer group price	\$ 140.97	
February 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.91	May 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.86	
Rebased resident-related peer group price	128.28	Adjusted resident-related peer group price	121.23	
Other resident-related peer group price	44.78	The sum of the remaining peer group price components	68.94	
Administrative peer group price	20.64			
Estimated fixed property component	3.52			
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on		October 1, 2013, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on		
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 197.22	July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 190.17	
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	167.25	Estimated Oct. 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	161.27	
July 1, 2013, County MA rate	176.93	July 1, 2013, County MA rate	176.93	
Difference	(9.68)	Difference	(15.66)	
MA days Jul. 1, 2013 - Sept. 30, 2013	10,703	MA days Oct. 1, 2013 - Dec. 31, 2013	10,845	
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ (103,605)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ (169,833)	

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Crawford County Care Center

January 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:		April 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:		
Resident-related peer group price	\$ 140.97	Resident-related peer group price	\$ 140.97	
August 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.90	November 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.87	
Adjusted resident-related	400.07	Adjusted resident-related	100.01	
peer group price	126.87	peer group price	122.64	
The sum of the remaining peer group		The sum of the remaining peer group		
price components	68.94	price components	68.94	
January 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 195.81	April 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 191.58	
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	
Estimated Jan. 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	166.05	Estimated April 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	162.47	
July 1, 2013, County MA rate	176.93	July 1, 2013, County MA rate	176.93	
Difference	(10.88)	Difference	(14.46)	
MA days Jan. 1, 2014 - Mar. 31, 2014	10,417	MA days Apr. 1, 2014 - Jun. 30, 2014	10,425	
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ (113,337)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ (150,746)	

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Crawford County Care Center

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:

Resident-related peer group price	\$	140.97		
Average nonpublic MA CMI		1.04		
Rebased resident-related peer group price		146.61	Estima	
Other resident-related peer group price		44.78	assun facility	
Administrative peer group price		20.64	•	
Estimated fixed property component		3.52		
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	215.55	Estima	
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	assun facility	
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		182.79	nonpu	
July 1, 2013, proposed County MA rate		176.93		
Difference		5.86		
2013-2014 Medicaid days		42,390		
Estimated total financial impact	\$	248,405		

Estimated total financial impact to PA, assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using County Home MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	\$ (537,521)
Estimated total financial impact to PA, assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using nonpublic MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	\$ 248,405

Fair Acres Geriatric Center

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Fair Acres Geriatric Center

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculati	ion:		October 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Cal	culatio	on:
Resident-related peer group price	\$	191.68	Resident-related peer group price	\$	191.68
February 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.82	May 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.80
Rebased resident-related peer group price		157.18	Adjusted resident-related peer group price		153.34
Other resident-related peer group price		57.70	The sum of the remaining peer group price components		89.33
Administrative peer group price		28.11			
Estimated fixed property component		3.52			
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on			October 1, 2013, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on		
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	246.51	July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	242.67
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		209.04	Estimated Oct. 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		205.79
July 1, 2013, County MA rate		205.46	July 1, 2013, County MA rate		205.46
Difference		3.58	Difference		0.33
MA days Jul. 1, 2013 - Sept. 30, 2013		70,875	MA days Oct. 1, 2013 - Dec. 31, 2013		69,426
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	253,733	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	22,911

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Fair Acres Geriatric Center

January 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calc	ulation:	April 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calcula	ation:
Resident-related peer group price	\$ 191.68	Resident-related peer group price	\$ 191.68
August 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.79	November 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.80
Adjusted resident-related peer group price	151.43	Adjusted resident-related peer group price	153.34
peer group price	101.40	peer group price	100.04
The sum of the remaining peer group		The sum of the remaining peer group	
price components	89.33	price components	89.33
January 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 240.76	April 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 242.67
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801
Estimated Jan. 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	204.16	Estimated April 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	205.79
July 1, 2013, County MA rate	205.46	July 1, 2013, County MA rate	205.46
Difference	(1.30)	Difference	0.33
MA days Jan. 1, 2014 - Mar. 31, 2014	64,528	MA days Apr. 1, 2014 - Jun. 30, 2014	66,734
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ (83,886)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ 22,022

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Fair Acres Geriatric Center

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:

Desident related near group price	\$	101 69	
Resident-related peer group price Average nonpublic MA CMI	Φ	191.68 1.04	
Rebased resident-related		1.04	
peer group price		199.35	Estimated total financia
Other resident-related peer group price		57.70	assuming conversion t
Administrative peer group price		28.11	facility on July 1, 2013 Home MA CMIs - (gair
Estimated fixed property component		3.52	
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on			
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	288.68	Estimated total financia
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	assuming conversion t facility on July 1, 2013
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		244.80	nonpublic MA CMIs - (
July 1, 2013, proposed County MA rate		205.46	
Difference		39.34	
2013-2014 Medicaid days		271,563	
Estimated total financial impact	\$	10,683,288	

Estimated total financial impact to PA, assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using County Home MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	\$ 214,780
_	
 Estimated total financial impact to PA, assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using nonpublic MA CMIs - (gain) / loss 	\$ 10,683,288

Gracedale – Northampton County

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Gracedale - Northampton County

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculati	on:		October 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calo	culatior	n:
Resident-related peer group price	\$	182.95	Resident-related peer group price	\$	182.95
February 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.88	May 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.89
Rebased resident-related peer group price		161.00	Adjusted resident-related peer group price		162.83
Other resident-related peer group price		59.63	The sum of the remaining peer group price components		85.91
Administrative peer group price		22.52			
Estimated fixed property component		3.76			
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on			October 1, 2013, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on		
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	246.91	July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	248.74
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		209.38	Estimated Oct. 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		210.93
July 1, 2013, County MA rate		216.05	July 1, 2013, County MA rate		216.05
Difference		(6.82)	Difference		(5.27)
MA days Jul. 1, 2013 - Sept. 30, 2013		43,704	MA days Oct. 1, 2013 - Dec. 31, 2013		40,483
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(298,061)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(213,345)

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Gracedale - Northampton County

January 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calc	ulation:	April 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calcula	tion:
Resident-related peer group price	\$ 182.95	Resident-related peer group price	\$ 182.95
August 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.88	November 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.89
Adjusted resident-related peer group price	161.00	Adjusted resident-related peer group price	162.83
The sum of the remaining peer group		The sum of the remaining peer group	
price components	85.91	price components	85.91
January 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 246.91	April 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	<u>\$ 248.74</u>
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801
Estimated Jan. 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	209.38	Estimated April 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	210.93
July 1, 2013, County MA rate	216.05	July 1, 2013, County MA rate	216.05
Difference	(6.82)	Difference	(5.27)
MA days Jan. 1, 2014 - Mar. 31, 2014	40,522	MA days Apr. 1, 2014 - Jun. 30, 2014	43,590
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ (276,360)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ (229,719)

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Gracedale - Northampton County

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Lacinty Nate Calcula	uon.				
Resident-related peer group price	\$	182.95			
Average nonpublic MA CMI		1.04			
Rebased resident-related					
peer group price		190.27	Estimated total financial impact to PA,		
Other resident-related peer group price		59.63	assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using County		
Administrative peer group price		22.52	Home MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	\$	(1,017,485)
Estimated fixed property component		3.76			
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on					
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	276.18	Estimated total financial impact to PA, assuming conversion to a nonpublic		
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	facility on July 1, 2013, using	ሱ	0.000.000
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		234.20	nonpublic MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	<u> </u>	3,029,382
July 1, 2013, proposed County MA rate		216.05			
Difference		18.00			
2013-2014 Medicaid days		168,299			
Estimated total financial impact	\$	3,029,382			

John J. Kane – Glen Hazel

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review John J. Kane - Glen Hazel

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calcula	ation:		October 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Cal	culatio	n:
Resident-related peer group price	\$	167.56	Resident-related peer group price	\$	167.56
February 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.82	May 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.87
Rebased resident-related			Adjusted resident-related		
peer group price		137.40	peer group price		145.78
Other resident-related peer group price		48.07	The sum of the remaining peer group price components		77.46
Administrative peer group price		25.63			
Estimated fixed property component		3.76			
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group			October 1, 2013, adjusted peer group		
price, assuming conversion on	•		price, assuming conversion on	•	
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	214.86	July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	223.24
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		182.20	Estimated Oct. 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		189.31
July 1, 2013, County MA rate		236.35	July 1, 2013, County MA rate		236.35
Difference		(54.15)	Difference		(47.04)
MA days Jul. 1, 2013 - Sept. 30, 2013		14,445	MA days Oct. 1, 2013 - Dec. 31, 2013		14,127
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(782,197)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(664,534)

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review John J. Kane - Glen Hazel

January 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Cale Resident-related peer group price	\$	167.56	Resident-related peer group price	\$	167.56
August 1, 2013, MA CMI	Ŧ	0.80	November 1, 2013, MA CMI	Ŧ	0.86
Adjusted resident-related			Adjusted resident-related		
peer group price		134.05	peer group price		144.10
The sum of the remaining peer group			The sum of the remaining peer group		
price components		77.46	price components		77.46
January 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on			April 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on		
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	211.51	July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	221.56
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801
Estimated Jan. 1, 2014, nonpublic rate		179.36	Estimated April 1, 2014, nonpublic rate		187.89
July 1, 2013, County MA rate		236.35	July 1, 2013, County MA rate		236.35
Difference		(56.99)	Difference		(48.46)
MA days Jan. 1, 2014 - Mar. 31, 2014		13,620	MA days Apr. 1, 2014 - Jun. 30, 2014		13,107
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(776,204)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(635,165)

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review

John J. Kane - Glen Hazel

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:

Resident-related peer group price	\$ 167.56
Average nonpublic MA CMI	 1.04
Rebased resident-related peer group price	174.26
Other resident-related peer group price	48.07
Administrative peer group price	25.63
Estimated fixed property component	 3.76
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 251.72
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	 0.84801
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	213.46
July 1, 2013, proposed County MA rate	 236.35
Difference	 (22.89)
2013-2014 Medicaid days	55,299
Estimated total financial impact	\$ (1,265,794)

Estimated total financial impact to PA, assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using County Home MA CMIs - (gain) / loss

\$ (2,858,100)

Estimated total financial impact to PA, assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using nonpublic MA CMIs - (gain) / loss

\$ (1,265,794)

John J. Kane - McKeesport

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review John J. Kane - McKeesport

Resident-related peer group price	\$ 191.68	Resident-related peer group price	\$ 191.68
February 1, 2013, MA CMI	 0.95	May 1, 2013, MA CMI	 0.94
Rebased resident-related		Adjusted resident-related	
peer group price	182.10	peer group price	180.18
Other resident-related peer group price	57.70	The sum of the remaining peer group price components	89.56
Administrative peer group price	28.11		
Estimated fixed property component	 3.75		
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on		October 1, 2013, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on	
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 271.66	July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 269.74
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	 0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	 0.84801
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	230.37	Estimated Oct. 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	228.74
July 1, 2013, County MA rate	 213.08	July 1, 2013, County MA rate	 213.08
Difference	 17.29	Difference	 15.66
MA days Jul. 1, 2013 - Sept. 30, 2013	 22,286	MA days Oct. 1, 2013 - Dec. 31, 2013	 22,271
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ 385,325	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ 348,764

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review John J. Kane - McKeesport

January 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calc	ulation:	April 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calcula	ation:
Resident-related peer group price	\$ 191.68	Resident-related peer group price	\$ 191.68
August 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.93	November 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.92
Adjusted resident-related peer group price	178.26	Adjusted resident-related peer group price	176.35
The sum of the remaining peer group		The sum of the remaining peer group	
price components	89.56	price components	89.56
January 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	<u>\$ 267.82</u>	April 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	<u>\$ 265.91</u>
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801
Estimated Jan. 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	227.12	Estimated April 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	225.49
July 1, 2013, County MA rate	213.08	July 1, 2013, County MA rate	213.08
Difference	14.04	Difference	12.41
MA days Jan. 1, 2014 - Mar. 31, 2014	21,043	MA days Apr. 1, 2014 - Jun. 30, 2014	20,224
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ 295,444	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ 250,980

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review John J. Kane - McKeesport

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:

\$	191.68	
	1.04	
	199.35	Estimated total financial impact to PA,
	57.70	assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using County
	28.11	Home MA CMIs - (gain) / loss
	3.75	
\$	288.91	Estimated total financial impact to PA,
_	0.84801	assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using
	245.00	nonpublic MA CMIs - (gain) / loss
	213.08	
	31.92	
	85,824	
\$	2,739,502	
	\$	1.04 199.35 57.70 28.11 3.75 \$ 288.91 0.84801 245.00 213.08 31.92 85,824

\$

\$

1,280,513

2,739,502

John J. Kane – Ross Township

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review John J. Kane - Ross Township

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calcul	ation:		October 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:		
Resident-related peer group price	\$	167.56	Resident-related peer group price	\$	167.56
February 1, 2013, MA CMI		1.00	May 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.96
Rebased resident-related peer group price		167.56	Adjusted resident-related peer group price		160.86
Other resident-related peer group price		48.07	The sum of the remaining peer group price components		77.43
Administrative peer group price		25.63			
Estimated fixed property component		3.73			
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on			October 1, 2013, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on		
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	244.99	July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	238.29
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		207.75	Estimated Oct. 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		202.07
July 1, 2013, County MA rate		230.10	July 1, 2013, County MA rate		230.10
Difference		(22.35)	Difference		(28.03)
MA days Jul. 1, 2013 - Sept. 30, 2013		16,971	MA days Oct. 1, 2013 - Dec. 31, 2013		16,633
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(379,302)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(466,223)

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review John J. Kane - Ross Township

January 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Ca	Iculati	on:	April 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:			
Resident-related peer group price	\$	167.56	Resident-related peer group price	\$	167.56	
August 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.91	November 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.94	
Adjusted resident-related peer group price		152.48	Adjusted resident-related peer group price		157.51	
The sum of the remaining peer group price components		77.43	The sum of the remaining peer group price components		77.43	
January 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	229.91	April 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	234.94	
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	
Estimated Jan. 1, 2014, nonpublic rate		194.97	Estimated April 1, 2014, nonpublic rate		199.23	
July 1, 2013, County MA rate		230.10	July 1, 2013, County MA rate		230.10	
Difference		(35.13)	Difference		(30.87)	
MA days Jan. 1, 2014 - Mar. 31, 2014		15,981	MA days Apr. 1, 2014 - Jun. 30, 2014		15,337	
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(561,413)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(473,453)	

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review

John J. Kane - Ross Township

July	y 1,	20	13,	Non	public	Facility	Rate	Calculation:	
			-		-				

Resident-related peer group price Average nonpublic MA CMI	\$ 167.56 1.04
Rebased resident-related peer group price	174.26
Other resident-related peer group price	48.07
Administrative peer group price	25.63
Estimated fixed property component	 3.73
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 251.69
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	 0.84801
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	213.44
July 1, 2013, proposed County MA rate	 230.10
Difference	 (16.66)
2013-2014 Medicaid days	 64,922
Estimated total financial impact	\$ (1,081,601)

Estimated total financial impact to PA, assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using County Home MA CMIs - (gain) / loss \$

\$ (1,880,391)

Estimated total financial impact to PA, assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using nonpublic MA CMIs - (gain) / loss

\$ (1,081,601)

John J. Kane – Scott Township

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review John J. Kane - Scott Township

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculat	ion:		October 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:		
Resident-related peer group price	\$	191.68	Resident-related peer group price	\$	191.68
February 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.98	May 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.99
Rebased resident-related peer group price		187.85	Adjusted resident-related peer group price		189.76
Other resident-related peer group price		57.70	The sum of the remaining peer group price components		89.57
Administrative peer group price		28.11			
Estimated fixed property component		3.76			
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on			October 1, 2013, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on		
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	277.42	July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	279.33
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		235.25	Estimated Oct. 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		236.88
July 1, 2013, County MA rate		217.12	July 1, 2013, County MA rate		217.12
Difference		18.13	Difference		19.76
MA days Jul. 1, 2013 - Sept. 30, 2013		17,546	MA days Oct. 1, 2013 - Dec. 31, 2013		17,096
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	318,109	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	337,817

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review John J. Kane - Scott Township

January 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Cal	culation:	April 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:		
Resident-related peer group price	\$ 191.68	Resident-related peer group price	\$ 191.68	
August 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.99	November 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.97	
Adjusted resident-related	100.76	Adjusted resident-related	195.02	
peer group price	189.76	peer group price	185.93	
The sum of the remaining peer group		The sum of the remaining peer group		
price components	89.57	price components	89.57	
January 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 279.33	April 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 275.50	
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	
Estimated Jan. 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	236.88	Estimated April 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	233.63	
July 1, 2013, County MA rate	217.12	July 1, 2013, County MA rate	217.12	
Difference	19.76	Difference	16.51	
MA days Jan. 1, 2014 - Mar. 31, 2014	16,498	MA days Apr. 1, 2014 - Jun. 30, 2014	16,055	
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ 326,000	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ 265,068	

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review John J. Kane - Scott Township

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:

Resident-related peer group price	\$ 191.68
Average nonpublic MA CMI	 1.04
Rebased resident-related	100.05
peer group price	199.35
Other resident-related peer group price	57.70
Administrative peer group price	28.11
Estimated fixed property component	3.76
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group	
price, assuming conversion on	
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 288.92
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	245.00
July 1, 2013, proposed County MA rate	 217.12
Difference	 27.88
2013-2014 Medicaid days	 67,195
Estimated total financial impact	\$ 1,873,397

70 1 7 <u>6</u>	Estimated total financial impact to PA, assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using County Home MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	\$ 1,246,994
02 01 00	Estimated total financial impact to PA, assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using nonpublic MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	\$ 1,873,397

Neshaminy Manor

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Neshaminy Manor Home

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation	ion:		October 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:			
Resident-related peer group price	\$	191.68	Resident-related peer group price	\$	191.68	
February 1, 2013, MA CMI		1.02	May 1, 2013, MA CMI		1.06	
Rebased resident-related peer group price		195.51	Adjusted resident-related peer group price		203.18	
Other resident-related peer group price		57.70	The sum of the remaining peer group price components		89.30	
Administrative peer group price		28.11				
Estimated fixed property component		3.49				
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on			October 1, 2013, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on			
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	284.81	July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	292.48	
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		241.52	Estimated Oct. 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		248.03	
July 1, 2013, County MA rate		262.34	July 1, 2013, County MA rate		262.34	
Difference		(20.82)	Difference		(14.31)	
MA days Jul. 1, 2013 - Sept. 30, 2013		23,508	MA days Oct. 1, 2013 - Dec. 31, 2013		24,188	
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(489,437)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(346,130)	

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Neshaminy Manor Home

January 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calc	ulation:	April 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:		
Resident-related peer group price	\$ 191.68	Resident-related peer group price	\$ 191.68	
August 1, 2013, MA CMI	1.07	November 1, 2013, MA CMI	1.03	
Adjusted resident-related peer group price	205.10	Adjusted resident-related peer group price	197.43	
The sum of the remaining peer group		The sum of the remaining peer group		
price components	89.30	price components	89.30	
January 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 294.40	April 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	<u>\$286.73</u>	
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	
Estimated Jan. 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	249.65	Estimated April 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	243.15	
July 1, 2013, County MA rate	262.34	July 1, 2013, County MA rate	262.34	
Difference	(12.69)	Difference	(19.19)	
MA days Jan. 1, 2014 - Mar. 31, 2014	23,996	MA days Apr. 1, 2014 - Jun. 30, 2014	23,451	
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ (304,509)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ (450,025)	

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Neshaminy Manor Home

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculat	ion:				
Resident-related peer group price	\$	191.68			
Average nonpublic MA CMI Rebased resident-related		1.04			
peer group price		199.35	Estimated total financial impact to PA,		
Other resident-related peer group price		57.70	assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using County		
Administrative peer group price		28.11	Home MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	\$ (1,590,101)	<u>)</u>
Estimated fixed property component		3.49			
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	288.65	Estimated total financial impact to PA, assuming conversion to a nonpublic		
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	facility on July 1, 2013, using	(4 070 744)	、
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		244.78	nonpublic MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	\$ (1,670,711)	<u>)</u>
July 1, 2013, proposed County MA rate		262.34			
Difference		(17.56)			
2013-2014 Medicaid days		95,143			

Estimated total financial impact

\$ (1,670,711)

Philadelphia Nursing Home

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Philadelphia Nursing Home

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculati	on:		October 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Cal	culatio	n:
Resident-related peer group price	\$	191.68	Resident-related peer group price	\$	191.68
February 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.83	May 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.86
Rebased resident-related peer group price		159.09	Adjusted resident-related peer group price		164.84
Other resident-related peer group price		57.70	The sum of the remaining peer group price components		89.55
Administrative peer group price		28.11			
Estimated fixed property component		3.74			
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on			October 1, 2013, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on		
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	248.64	July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	254.39
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		210.85	Estimated Oct. 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		215.73
July 1, 2013, County MA rate		229.66	July 1, 2013, County MA rate		229.66
Difference		(18.81)	Difference		(13.93)
MA days Jul. 1, 2013 - Sept. 30, 2013		33,623	MA days Oct. 1, 2013 - Dec. 31, 2013		33,650
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(632,449)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(468,745)

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Philadelphia Nursing Home

January 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calo	culation:	April 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calcula	ation:
Resident-related peer group price	\$ 191.68	Resident-related peer group price	\$ 191.68
August 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.84	November 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.83
Adjusted resident-related peer group price	161.01	Adjusted resident-related peer group price	159.09
The sum of the remaining peer group		The sum of the remaining peer group	
price components	89.55	price components	89.55
January 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 250.56	April 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	<u>\$248.64</u>
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801
Estimated Jan. 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	212.48	Estimated April 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	210.85
July 1, 2013, County MA rate	229.66	July 1, 2013, County MA rate	229.66
Difference	(17.18)	Difference	(18.81)
MA days Jan. 1, 2014 - Mar. 31, 2014	33,398	MA days Apr. 1, 2014 - Jun. 30, 2014	32,706
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ (573,778)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ (615,200)

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Philadelphia Nursing Home

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:

Posident related near group price	\$	191.68	
Resident-related peer group price Average nonpublic MA CMI	Φ	1.04	
Rebased resident-related		1.01	
peer group price		199.35	Estir
Other resident-related peer group price		57.70	ass
Administrative peer group price		28.11	fac Ho
Estimated fixed property component		3.74	
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group			
price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	288.90	Estir
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	ass fac
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		244.99	nor
July 1, 2013, proposed County MA rate		229.66	
Difference		15.33	
2013-2014 Medicaid days		133,377	
Estimated total financial impact	\$	2,044,669	

Estimated total financial impact to PA, assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using County Home MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	\$ (2,290,172)
Estimated total financial impact to PA,	

ssuming conversion to a nonpublic cility on July 1, 2013, using onpublic MA CMIs - (gain) / loss \$

2,044,669

Pleasant Acres Nursing & Rehabilitation Center

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Pleasant Acres Nursing & Rehabilitation Center

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculati	on:		October 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calo	ulatio	n:
Resident-related peer group price	\$	182.95	Resident-related peer group price	\$	182.95
February 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.87	May 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.89
Rebased resident-related peer group price		159.17	Adjusted resident-related peer group price		162.83
Other resident-related peer group price		59.63	The sum of the remaining peer group price components		85.73
Administrative peer group price		22.52			
Estimated fixed property component		3.58			
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on			October 1, 2013, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on		
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	244.90	July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	248.56
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		207.67	Estimated Oct. 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		210.78
July 1, 2013, County MA rate		235.55	July 1, 2013, County MA rate		235.55
Difference		(27.88)	Difference		(24.77)
MA days Jul. 1, 2013 - Sept. 30, 2013		28,845	MA days Oct. 1, 2013 - Dec. 31, 2013		28,637
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(804,199)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(709,338)

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Pleasant Acres Nursing & Rehabilitation Center

January 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calo	culation	:	April 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calcula	tion:	
Resident-related peer group price	\$	182.95	Resident-related peer group price	\$	182.95
August 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.88	November 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.89
Adjusted resident-related		101.00	Adjusted resident-related		100.00
peer group price		161.00	peer group price		162.83
The sum of the remaining peer group			The sum of the remaining peer group		
price components		85.73	price components		85.73
January 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	246.73	April 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	248.56
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801
Estimated Jan. 1, 2014, nonpublic rate		209.23	Estimated April 1, 2014, nonpublic rate		210.78
July 1, 2013, County MA rate		235.55	July 1, 2013, County MA rate		235.55
Difference		(26.32)	Difference		(24.77)
MA days Jan. 1, 2014 - Mar. 31, 2014		27,617	MA days Apr. 1, 2014 - Jun. 30, 2014		26,883
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(726,879)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(665,892)

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Pleasant Acres Nursing & Rehabilitation Center

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:

Resident-related peer group price	\$	182.95	
Average nonpublic MA CMI		1.04	
Rebased resident-related			
peer group price		190.27	Estimated total financial impact to PA,
Other resident-related peer group price		59.63	assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using County
Administrative peer group price		22.52	Home MA CMIs - (gain) / loss
Estimated fixed property component		3.58	
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group			
price, assuming conversion on	¢	270.00	Estimated total financial impact to PA,
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	276.00	assuming conversion to a nonpublic
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	facility on July 1, 2013, using
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		234.05	nonpublic MA CMIs - (gain) / loss
July 1, 2013, proposed County MA rate		235.55	
Difference		(1.50)	
2013-2014 Medicaid days		111,982	
Estimated total financial impact	\$	(167,973)	

\$ (2,906,308)

(167,973)

\$

Pleasant Ridge Manor

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Pleasant Ridge Manor

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:			October 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:				
Resident-related peer group price	\$	182.95	Resident-related peer group price	\$	182.95		
February 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.91	May 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.89		
Rebased resident-related		100.10	Adjusted resident-related		400.00		
peer group price		166.48	peer group price		162.83		
Other resident-related peer group price		59.63	The sum of the remaining peer group price components		85.91		
Administrative peer group price		22.52					
Estimated fixed property component		3.76					
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on			October 1, 2013, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on				
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	252.39	July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	248.74		
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801		
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		214.03	Estimated Oct. 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		210.93		
July 1, 2013, County MA rate		222.56	July 1, 2013, County MA rate		222.56		
Difference		(8.53)	Difference		(11.63)		
MA days Jul. 1, 2013 - Sept. 30, 2013		25,855	MA days Oct. 1, 2013 - Dec. 31, 2013		25,857		
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(220,543)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(300,717)		

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Pleasant Ridge Manor

January 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calo	ulation	:	April 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calcula	tion:	
Resident-related peer group price	\$	182.95	Resident-related peer group price	\$	182.95
August 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.90	November 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.92
Adjusted resident-related		164.66	Adjusted resident-related		160.01
peer group price		164.66	peer group price		168.31
The sum of the remaining peer group			The sum of the remaining peer group		
price components		85.91	price components		85.91
January 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	250.57	April 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	254.22
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801
Estimated Jan. 1, 2014, nonpublic rate		212.48	Estimated April 1, 2014, nonpublic rate		215.58
July 1, 2013, County MA rate		222.56	July 1, 2013, County MA rate		222.56
Difference		(10.08)	Difference		(6.98)
MA days Jan. 1, 2014 - Mar. 31, 2014		25,261	MA days Apr. 1, 2014 - Jun. 30, 2014		26,603
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(254,631)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(185,689)

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review

Pleasant Ridge Manor

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:

Resident-related peer group price	\$ 182.95
Average nonpublic MA CMI	 1.04
Rebased resident-related	
peer group price	190.27
Other resident-related peer group price	59.63
Administrative peer group price	22.52
Estimated fixed property component	 3.76
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group	
price, assuming conversion on	
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 276.18
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	 0.84801
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	234.20
July 1, 2013, proposed County MA rate	 222.56
Difference	 11.64
2013-2014 Medicaid days	 103,576
Estimated total financial impact	\$ 1,205,625

Estimated total financial impact to PA, assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using County Home MA CMIs - (gain) / loss <u>\$ (961,580)</u>

Estimated total financial impact to PA, assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using nonpublic MA CMIs - (gain) / loss

\$ 1,205,625

Pleasant Valley Manor

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Pleasant Valley Manor

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculat	ion:	October 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:			
Resident-related peer group price	\$ 140.97	Resident-related peer group price	\$ 140.97		
February 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.88	May 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.91		
Rebased resident-related peer group price	124.05	Adjusted resident-related peer group price	128.28		
			120.20		
Other resident-related peer group price	44.78	The sum of the remaining peer group	00.05		
Administrative peer group price	20.64	price components	68.95		
Estimated fixed property component	3.53				
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group		October 1, 2013, adjusted peer group			
price, assuming conversion on		price, assuming conversion on			
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 193.00	July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 197.23		
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801		
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	163.67	Estimated Oct. 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	167.26		
July 1, 2013, County MA rate	167.28	July 1, 2013, County MA rate	167.28		
Difference	(3.61)	Difference	(0.02)		
MA days Jul. 1, 2013 - Sept. 30, 2013	10,555	MA days Oct. 1, 2013 - Dec. 31, 2013	10,867		
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ (38,104)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ (217)		

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Pleasant Valley Manor

anuary 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Cal	culation:	April 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:			
Resident-related peer group price	\$ 140.97	Resident-related peer group price	\$ 140.97		
ugust 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.94	November 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.89		
Adjusted resident-related	132.51	Adjusted resident-related	125.46		
peer group price	132.51	peer group price	125.40		
he sum of the remaining peer group		The sum of the remaining peer group			
price components	68.95	price components	68.95		
January 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 201.46	April 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	<u>\$ 194.41</u>		
Ionpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801		
Estimated Jan. 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	170.84	Estimated April 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	164.86		
July 1, 2013, County MA rate	167.28	July 1, 2013, County MA rate	167.28		
Difference	3.56	Difference	(2.42)		
MA days Jan. 1, 2014 - Mar. 31, 2014	10,910	MA days Apr. 1, 2014 - Jun. 30, 2014	11,211		

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Pleasant Valley Manor

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculat	ion:				
Resident-related peer group price	\$	140.97			
Average nonpublic MA CMI		1.04			
Rebased resident-related					
peer group price		146.61	Estimated total financial impact to PA,		
Other resident-related peer group price		44.78	assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using County		
Administrative peer group price		20.64	Home MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	\$	(26,612)
Estimated fixed property component		3.53			
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on	۴	045 50	Estimated total financial impact to PA,		
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	215.56	assuming conversion to a nonpublic		
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	facility on July 1, 2013, using	¢	675 707
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		182.80	nonpublic MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	<u> </u>	675,787
July 1, 2013, proposed County MA rate		167.28			
Difference		15.52			
2013-2014 Medicaid days		43,543			

Estimated total financial impact

\$ 675,787

Pocopson Home

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Pocopson Home

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:			October 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:			
Resident-related peer group price	\$	191.68	Resident-related peer group price	\$	191.68	
February 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.84	May 1, 2013, MA CMI		0.85	
Rebased resident-related peer group price		161.01	Adjusted resident-related peer group price		162.93	
Other resident-related peer group price		57.70	The sum of the remaining peer group price components		89.27	
Administrative peer group price		28.11				
Estimated fixed property component		3.46				
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on			October 1, 2013, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on			
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	250.28	July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	252.20	
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		212.24	Estimated Oct. 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		213.87	
July 1, 2013, County MA rate		213.87	July 1, 2013, County MA rate		213.87	
Difference		(1.63)	Difference		-	
MA days Jul. 1, 2013 - Sept. 30, 2013		22,087	MA days Oct. 1, 2013 - Dec. 31, 2013		21,171	
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(36,002)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	-	

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Pocopson Home

January 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calc	ulation:	April 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calcula	ation:
Resident-related peer group price	\$ 191.68	Resident-related peer group price	\$ 191.68
August 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.85	November 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.90
Adjusted resident-related peer group price	162.93	Adjusted resident-related peer group price	172.51
The sum of the remaining peer group		The sum of the remaining peer group	
price components	89.27	price components	89.27
January 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 252.20	April 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 261.78
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801
Estimated Jan. 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	213.87	Estimated April 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	221.99
July 1, 2013, County MA rate	213.87	July 1, 2013, County MA rate	213.87
Difference		Difference	8.12
MA days Jan. 1, 2014 - Mar. 31, 2014	20,750	MA days Apr. 1, 2014 - Jun. 30, 2014	20,969

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Pocopson Home

Estimated total financial impact

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation	on:				
Resident-related peer group price	\$	191.68			
Average nonpublic MA CMI		1.04			
Rebased resident-related					
peer group price		199.35	Estimated total financial impact to PA,		
Other resident-related peer group price		57.70	assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using County		
Administrative peer group price		28.11	Home MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	\$	134,266
Estimated fixed property component		3.46			
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on					
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	288.62	Estimated total financial impact to PA,		
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using	¢	2 624 000
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		244.75	nonpublic MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	<u> </u>	2,624,090
July 1, 2013, proposed County MA rate		213.87			
Difference		30.88			
2013-2014 Medicaid days		84,977			

\$

2,624,090

Rouse Warren County Home

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Rouse Warren County Home

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calcula	tion:	October 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:		
Resident-related peer group price	\$ 140.97	Resident-related peer group price	\$ 140.97	
February 1, 2013, MA CMI Rebased resident-related	0.88	May 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.91	
peer group price	124.05	Adjusted resident-related peer group price	128.28	
Other resident-related peer group price	44.78	The sum of the remaining peer group price components	68.89	
Administrative peer group price	20.64			
Estimated fixed property component	3.47			
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on		October 1, 2013, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on		
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 192.94	July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 197.17	
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	163.62	Estimated Oct. 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	167.20	
July 1, 2013, County MA rate	162.70	July 1, 2013, County MA rate	162.70	
Difference	0.92	Difference	4.50	
MA days Jul. 1, 2013 - Sept. 30, 2013	10,158	MA days Oct. 1, 2013 - Dec. 31, 2013	10,338	
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ 9,345	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ 46,521	

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Rouse Warren County Home

January 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Cal	culation:	April 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:			
Resident-related peer group price	\$ 140.97	Resident-related peer group price	\$ 140.97		
August 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.92	November 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.93		
Adjusted resident-related	100.00	Adjusted resident-related	101.10		
peer group price	129.69	peer group price	131.10		
The sum of the remaining peer group		The sum of the remaining peer group			
price components	68.89	price components	68.89		
January 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 198.58	April 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 199.99		
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801		
Estimated Jan. 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	168.40	Estimated April 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	169.60		
July 1, 2013, County MA rate	162.70	July 1, 2013, County MA rate	162.70		
Difference	5.70	Difference	6.90		
MA days Jan. 1, 2014 - Mar. 31, 2014	10,279	MA days Apr. 1, 2014 - Jun. 30, 2014	10,585		
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ 58,590	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ 73,037		
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ 58,590	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$		

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Rouse Warren County Home

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:

\$	140.97			
	1.04			
	146.61	Estimated total financial impact to PA,		
	44.78	assuming conversion to a nonpublic		
	20.64	Home MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	\$	187,493
	3.47			
¢	045 50	Estimated total financial impact to PA		
Þ	215.50	•		
	0.84801	facility on July 1, 2013, using	•	
	182.75	nonpublic MA CMIS - (gain) / loss	\$	829,268
	162.70			
	20.05			
	41,360			
\$	829,268			
		\$ 140.97 1.04 146.61 44.78 20.64 3.47 \$ 215.50 0.84801 182.75 162.70 20.05 41,360	\$ 140.97 1.04 146.61 44.78 20.64 3.47 Estimated total financial impact to PA, assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using County Home MA CMIs - (gain) / loss \$ 215.50 0.84801 182.75 162.70 20.05 41,360	\$ 140.97 1.04 146.61 44.78 44.78 20.64 3.47 Estimated total financial impact to PA, assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using County Home MA CMIs - (gain) / loss \$ 215.50 \$ 215.50 Estimated total financial impact to PA, assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using nonpublic MA CMIs - (gain) / loss 182.75 162.70 20.05 41,360

Susque View Home

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Susque View Home

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculat	ion:	October 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:			
Resident-related peer group price	\$ 140.97	Resident-related peer group price	\$ 140.97		
February 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.93	May 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.89		
Rebased resident-related peer group price	131.10	Adjusted resident-related peer group price	125.46		
Other resident-related peer group price	44.78	The sum of the remaining peer group price components	69.00		
Administrative peer group price	20.64				
Estimated fixed property component	3.58				
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on		October 1, 2013, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on			
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 200.10	July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 194.46		
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801		
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	169.69	Estimated Oct. 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	164.91		
July 1, 2013, County MA rate	170.67	July 1, 2013, County MA rate	170.67		
Difference	(0.98)	Difference	(5.76)		
MA days Jul. 1, 2013 - Sept. 30, 2013	10,264	MA days Oct. 1, 2013 - Dec. 31, 2013	10,219		
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ (10,059)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ (58,861)		

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Susque View Home

January 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calc	ulation:	April 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calcula	tion:
Resident-related peer group price	\$ 140.97	Resident-related peer group price	\$ 140.97
August 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.92	November 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.90
Adjusted resident-related peer group price	129.69	Adjusted resident-related peer group price	126.87
The sum of the remaining peer group		The sum of the remaining peer group	
price components	69.00	price components	69.00
January 1, 2014, adjusted peer group		April 1, 2014, adjusted peer group	
price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 198.69	price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 195.87
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801
Estimated Jan. 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	168.49	Estimated April 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	166.10
July 1, 2013, County MA rate	170.67	July 1, 2013, County MA rate	170.67
Difference	(2.18)	Difference	(4.57)
MA days Jan. 1, 2014 - Mar. 31, 2014	9,930	MA days Apr. 1, 2014 - Jun. 30, 2014	9,560
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ (21,647)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ (43,689)

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Susque View Home

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculat Resident-related peer group price	\$	140.97		
Average nonpublic MA CMI	Ψ	1.04		
Rebased resident-related				
peer group price		146.61	Estimated total financial impact to PA,	
Other resident-related peer group price		44.78	assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using County	
Administrative peer group price		20.64	Home MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	\$ (134,256)
Estimated fixed property component		3.58		
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	215.61	Estimated total financial impact to PA, assuming conversion to a nonpublic	
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	facility on July 1, 2013, using	
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		182.84	nonpublic MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	\$ 486,471
July 1, 2013, proposed County MA rate		170.67		
Difference		12.17		
2013-2014 Medicaid days		39,973		

\$ 486,471

Estimated total financial impact

90

Washington County Health Center

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Washington County Health Center

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calcula		October 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Cal	
Resident-related peer group price	\$ 191.68	Resident-related peer group price	\$ 191.68
February 1, 2013, MA CMI	 0.88	May 1, 2013, MA CMI	 0.93
Rebased resident-related		Adjusted resident-related	
peer group price	168.68	peer group price	178.26
Other resident-related peer group price	57.70	The sum of the remaining peer group price components	89.48
Administrative peer group price	28.11		
Estimated fixed property component	 3.67		
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on		October 1, 2013, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on	
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 258.16	July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 267.74
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	 0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	 0.84801
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	218.92	Estimated Oct. 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	227.05
July 1, 2013, County MA rate	 220.62	July 1, 2013, County MA rate	 220.62
Difference	 (1.70)	Difference	 6.43
MA days Jul. 1, 2013 - Sept. 30, 2013	 18,888	MA days Oct. 1, 2013 - Dec. 31, 2013	 18,199
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ (32,110)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ 117,020

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Washington County Health Center

January 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calo	ulation:	April 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calcula	ation:
Resident-related peer group price	\$ 191.68	Resident-related peer group price	\$ 191.68
August 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.88	November 1, 2013, MA CMI	0.91
Adjusted resident-related peer group price	168.68	Adjusted resident-related peer group price	174.43
	100.00		17-1-10
The sum of the remaining peer group	00.40	The sum of the remaining peer group	00.40
price components	89.48	price components	89.48
January 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 258.16	April 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 263.91
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	0.84801
Estimated Jan. 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	218.92	Estimated April 1, 2014, nonpublic rate	223.80
July 1, 2013, County MA rate	220.62	July 1, 2013, County MA rate	220.62
Difference	(1.70)	Difference	3.18
MA days Jan. 1, 2014 - Mar. 31, 2014	17,323	MA days Apr. 1, 2014 - Jun. 30, 2014	18,595
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ (29,449)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$ 59,132

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Washington County Health Center

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:

Suly 1, 2015, Nonpublic 1 acinty Nate Calculat		404.00			
Resident-related peer group price	\$	191.68			
Average nonpublic MA CMI		1.04			
Rebased resident-related					
peer group price		199.35	Estimated total financial impact to PA,		
Other resident-related peer group price		57.70	assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using County		
Administrative peer group price		28.11	Home MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	\$	114,593
Estimated fixed property component		3.67			
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on	•		Estimated total financial impact to DA		
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	288.83	Estimated total financial impact to PA,		
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using	^	4 77 4 750
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		244.93	nonpublic MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	<u> </u>	1,774,752
July 1, 2013, proposed County MA rate		220.62			
Difference		24.31			
2013-2014 Medicaid days		73,005.00			

Estimated total financial impact

\$

1,774,752

Westmoreland Manor

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Westmoreland Manor

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calcula		October 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:				
Resident-related peer group price	\$	191.68	Resident-related peer group price	\$	191.68	
February 1, 2013, MA CMI		1.05	May 1, 2013, MA CMI		1.06	
Rebased resident-related peer group price		201.26	Adjusted resident-related peer group price		203.18	
Other resident-related peer group price		57.70	The sum of the remaining peer group price components		89.29	
Administrative peer group price		28.11				
Estimated fixed property component		3.48				
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on			October 1, 2013, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on			
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	290.55	July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	292.47	
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		246.39	Estimated Oct. 1, 2013, nonpublic rate		248.02	
July 1, 2013, County MA rate		255.29	July 1, 2013, County MA rate		255.29	
Difference		(8.90)	Difference		(7.27)	
MA days Jul. 1, 2013 - Sept. 30, 2013		30,496	MA days Oct. 1, 2013 - Dec. 31, 2013		30,544	
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(271,414)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(222,055)	

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Westmoreland Manor

January 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calco	ulation	:	April 1, 2014, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calcula	tion:	
Resident-related peer group price	\$	191.68	Resident-related peer group price	\$	191.68
August 1, 2013, MA CMI		1.05	November 1, 2013, MA CMI		1.07
Adjusted resident-related peer group price		201.26	Adjusted resident-related peer group price		205.10
The sum of the remaining peer group		89.29	The sum of the remaining peer group		89.29
price components		03.23	price components		03.23
January 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	290.55	April 1, 2014, adjusted peer group price, assuming conversion on July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$	294.39
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801	Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment		0.84801
Estimated Jan. 1, 2014, nonpublic rate		246.39	Estimated April 1, 2014, nonpublic rate		249.64
July 1, 2013, County MA rate		255.29	July 1, 2013, County MA rate		255.29
Difference		(8.90)	Difference		(5.65)
MA days Jan. 1, 2014 - Mar. 31, 2014		28,762	MA days Apr. 1, 2014 - Jun. 30, 2014		28,557
Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(255,982)	Estimated quarterly financial impact	\$	(161,347)

Medicaid Rate Analysis Review Westmoreland Manor

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calculation:

July 1, 2013, Nonpublic Facility Rate Calcula				
Resident-related peer group price	\$ 191.68			
Average nonpublic MA CMI	 1.04			
Rebased resident-related				
peer group price	199.35	Estimated total financial impact to PA,		
Other resident-related peer group price	57.70	assuming conversion to a nonpublic facility on July 1, 2013, using County		
Administrative peer group price	28.11	Home MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	\$	(910,798)
Estimated fixed property component	 3.48			
July 1, 2013, rebased peer group price, assuming conversion on				
July 1, 2013, to a nonpublic facility	\$ 288.64	Estimated total financial impact to PA, assuming conversion to a nonpublic		
Nonpublic nursing facility budget adjustment	 0.84801	facility on July 1, 2013, using nonpublic MA CMIs - (gain) / loss	\$	(1,245,137)
Estimated July 1, 2013, nonpublic rate	244.77		<u> </u>	(.,,
July 1, 2013, proposed County MA rate	 255.29			
Difference	 (10.52)			
2013-2014 Medicaid days	 118,359			
Estimated total financial impact	\$ (1,245,137)			

Disclaimer

This report is intended solely for the use of the Board of Directors. Carbis Walker LLP did not audit or perform any attestation services in generating this report and, therefore, cannot provide an opinion on the accuracy of the information contained in this report. This report was prepared based on information supplied by the County Homes. Carbis Walker LLP does not advocate on behalf of PACAH or any County Home. The enclosed report represents independent calculations and facts. By accepting this report, PACAH, as well as the County Homes, indemnify Carbis Walker LLP for any liability beyond the amount Carbis Walker LLP was compensated for the preparation of this report.